Spinner.

The Vibration Spectra and Structures of the Hydrochlorides **603**. of Aminopyridines.

By E. Spinner.

The infrared and Raman spectra of the hydrochlorides of 2-, 3-, and 4-aminopyridine, of 2-aminopyrimidine, and of the 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-methyl derivatives of 2-aminopyridine, have been compared with those of the free amines. Whereas the vibration spectrum of 3-aminopyridine hardly changes on cation formation those of the α - and γ -amino-compounds change considerably, showing that there is a further structural change beyond mere proton The spectral change being too drastic to be explained simply by addition. cation structures in which the forms (IV) and (V), and (VIII) and (IX), contribute about equally, it is concluded that the cations are of the amidinium type and are represented essentially or largely by structures (V) and (IX). In agreement with this idea, the vibration spectrum of the 4-aminopyridine cation strongly resembles that of 4-pyridone (X) and differs considerably from that of the 4-methoxypyridine cation.

2- and 4-AMINOPYRIDINE, and aminopyridine monocations, are potentially tautomeric. However, it is firmly established that the former exist predominantly in the aminopyridine and not in the pyridone imine form,¹ and the predominant monocations are those in which the proton has added to the ring rather than to the exocyclic nitrogen atom, as is shown by the high base strengths of the aminopyridines relative to aniline,² and by the electronic spectra ³ and base strengths ⁴, ^{3c} of the monocations.



Resonance of the type (I) \leftarrow (II) has been postulated for 2- and 4-aminopyridines,^{1a} and resonance of the amidinium ion type, $(IV) \leftrightarrow (V)$ and $(VIII) \leftrightarrow (IX)$, for the monocations.^{2, 4} Molecular-orbital treatments of aminopyridines and their cations have appeared,^{5, 3b} but there is some disagreement concerning the relative importance of the contributing structures in the cations. It was of interest to see whether the vibration spectra shed light on this matter. The infrared spectra of 2-, 3-, and 4-aminopyridine have been examined thoroughly;⁶ the other data in the annexed Tables are new.

Experimental.—*Materials.* The amino-compounds were commercial specimens recrystallized to constant purity. Monohydrochlorides were obtained from solutions in concentrated hydrochloric acid, and their purity and the absence of water of crystallization were checked by elemental analysis; being hygroscopic, they were sampled in a dry-box.

Infrared spectra. These were taken with a Perkin–Elmer 21 double-beam spectrophotometer, and, in the range 3800-2400 cm.⁻¹, with a Perkin-Elmer 12 single-beam instrument ⁷ fitted with

¹ (a) Angyal and Angyal, J., 1952, 1461; (b) Angyal and Werner, J., 1952, 2911. ² Albert, Goldacre, and Phillips, J., 1948, 2240. ³ (a) Steck and Ewing, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1948, 70, 3397; (b) Mason, J., 1960, 219; (c) Albert, J., 1960, 1020.

⁴ Bender and Chow, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 3929.

⁵ Murrell, J., 1959, 296; Mason, J., 1959, 1253; Mataga and Mataga, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1959, 32, 600.

(a) Katritzky and Hands, J., 1958, 2202; Katritzky, Hands, and Jones, J., 1958, 3165; Katritzky and Gardner, J., 1958, 2198; (b) Goulden, J., 1952, 2939; Mason, J., 1958, 3619; Ramiah and Puranik, J. Mol. Spectroscopy, 1961, 7, 89; Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 1961, 54, A, 121.
 ? Albert and Spinner, J., 1960, 1221.

a lithium fluoride prism. Except for 2-amino-3-methylpyridine, which was examined as the pure liquid, the spectra were obtained for the solids dispersed in potassium bromide discs.

Raman spectra. These were obtained as before,8 2-amino-3-methylpyridine being examined as the pure liquid and the other substances in solution in water [concentration, 50%by weight, except for 4-aminopyridine (25%), 2-amino-4-methylpyridine (15%), and 2-aminopyrimidine (33%)] and in concentrated hydrochloric acid (concentration of cation, $\sim 42\%$).

Aminopyridine Spectra.—Previous band assignments for the infrared spectra of 2-, 3-, and 4-aminopyridine 6a are slightly modified and extended, in the light of the Raman spectra now obtained and by correlation with the previous assignments⁹ for pyridine¹⁰ and its monodeutero-derivatives; ¹¹ assignments for the other substances examined are given as far as possible (see Tables).

The ring-breathing vibration v_1 and the ring deformation v_{12} . These give rise to the two prominent Raman bands near 1000 cm.⁻¹, the aromatic ring-breathing band being the most intense one (below 2000 cm.⁻¹) for 3- and 4-aminopyridine; for 2-aminopyridine and even more so 2-aminopyrimidine, however, this band is surpassed in intensity by one near 850 cm.⁻¹. A very strong Raman band near 1000 cm.⁻¹ is observed for *meta*- but not for ortho- or para-disubstituted benzene derivatives; as expected, this band is observed for the 4- and 6-methyl derivatives of 2-aminopyridine (modified *m*-toluidines, one CH replaced by N) but not for the 3- and 5-methyl derivatives (modified o- and p-toluidine, respectively).

The strong Raman band near 850 cm.⁻¹. The intense band near 800 cm.⁻¹ in the Raman spectra of the picolines ¹² and methoxypyridines ⁸ is near 850 cm.⁻¹ for both hydroxy-⁷ and amino-pyridines. The position of this band is not determined by skeletal structure, being the same in 2-amino- and 2-hydroxy- and in 4-amino- and 4-hydroxy-pyridine, although the hydroxy-compounds are, in fact, 2- and 4-pyridone. However, the band is displaced to near 750 cm.⁻¹ in the 3- and 4-methyl derivatives of both 2-amino- and 2-hydroxy-pyridine.¹³ This extreme sensitivity to methyl substitution at once suggests that the band is due to an out-of-plane CH bending vibration; the high Raman and low infrared intensity point to vibration v_5 .

The high-frequency skeletal stretching bands. Except in one or two cases the frequencies ν_{8a} , ν_{8b} , ν_{19a} , and ν_{19b} are readily placed, ν_{8a} being distinguished by its prominence in the Raman spectrum. The vibration spectrum of pyrimidine, however, is changed considerably on introduction of the 2-amino-group; the very intense infrared band near 1400 cm.⁻¹ in pyrimidine ⁹ and 2-methoxypyrimidine ¹⁴ (due to vibration v_{19b}) is absent for 2-aminopyrimidine and must be displaced to either 1480 cm.⁻¹ or 1360 cm.⁻¹.

Any large contribution from zwitterion structures in 2- or 4-aminopyridine will reduce the average bond order in the rings [which, on the Pauling scale, is 1.5 for form (I) and 1.33 for form (II)], with a consequent lowering of the ring skeletal stretching frequencies ¹⁵ v_1 , v_{14} , v_{8a} , v_{8b} , v_{19a} , and v_{19b} ; of these only v_{14} is unknown. Comparing each aminopyridine with the corresponding deuteropyridine,^{9, 11} these frequencies are, on balance, unchanged for the 2- and somewhat raised for the 3- and 4-amino-compound; *i.e.*, the effect of mesomerism is not apparent here.

-NH₂ Frequencies. The NH stretching ⁶⁶ and HNH scissoring bands, being prominent in the infrared spectra, are readily placed, but the other HNH bending frequencies are not. A localized C-Namino stretching motion, giving rise to a fairly intense Raman band, and of a frequency somewhat above 1100 cm.⁻¹ if the CN bond order is 1 (or close to it), is conceivable in these compounds but the spectra of 3- and 4-aminopyridine suggest mixing

- ⁸ Spinner and White, preceding paper.
 ⁹ Lord, Marston, and Miller, Spectrochim. Acta, 1957, 9, 113.
 ¹⁰ Corrsin, Fax, and Lord, J. Chem. Phys., 1953, 21, 1170.
- ¹¹ Andersen, Bak, Brodersen, and Rastrup-Andersen, J. Chem. Phys., 1955, 23, 1047. ¹³ Long, Murfin, Hales, and Kynaston, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1957, 53, 1171.
- ¹³ Spinner and White, unpublished work.
 ¹⁴ Brown and Short, J., 1953, 331.
 ¹⁵ Spinner, J., 1960, 1226.

CABLE 1.	•
----------	---

Vibration spectra ^a in the range 1800-650 cm.⁻¹ (Raman spectra to 200 cm.⁻¹).

Assign-	Inf	mamad	•	Dam		Assig	n-	nonod	Dom		Assign-	Inf	Franced	, Don	
ment •	2- 4 minopyridine		anent Inirarea			Kam dine	Raman ment			A minonvridine					
NH2 sc	2-Am 1627	0.55	ridir	ne 1627	8	NH2 S	3-Am c 1622	11000000000000000000000000000000000000	1623	11	NH ₂ sc	4-An 1645	$1 \cdot 0$	1648	$\frac{2}{7}$
8a 19a	1598 1560 1490	0.3 0.3 0.45	A¢	1571 1491	28 52 8	8b 19a	1574	0-25 0-6	1530 1534 1488	94 4 15	8b	1555 1523	0.15 0.1	1005	•
19Ъ 3?	$1443 \\ 1339$	0·45 0·15		$1445 \\ 1335$	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 44 \end{array}$	19Ъ	1440 1347	0·7 0·1	$\begin{array}{c}1443\\1338\end{array}$	22 4	19a	$\begin{array}{c} 1508 \\ 1479 \end{array}$	0·8 0·1	1512	2
02	$1325 \\ 1277 \\ 1156$	$0.15 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.1$		1268	48	0.0	1292 1260	0.6	$1292 \\ 1265 \\ 1107$	18 4 97	195	$1435 \\ 1353 \\ 1334$	0.6 0.1 0.75 A	$1353 \\ 1335$	$\frac{2}{2}$
<i>o</i> a:	1140	0.1 0.2		1128	20	15?	1129	0.05	1137	9		1270	0.15 A	1276	4
12	1060	0.02	А	1050 997	40 68	$1 \\ 12$	1046	0.3	1047 1023	$100 \\ 53$	9a? 12	$1219 \\ 1052$	0.75	1217	3
5	855	0.02		850 790	100 8	5	908 841	0·15 0·1	897 842	6 53	1 5	990 842	0·95 0·4	1002 850	12 10
11	764	0.25				11	799	0.5	810	27	11	824	1.05	668	3
	130	0.19		634	12	4?	700	0.7	636	9		000	0.4	536	2
16				$\begin{array}{c} 562 \\ 412 \end{array}$	16 8	16			$\begin{array}{c} 548\\ 385 \end{array}$	14 9					
2-Ami	nopyri	dine h	ydr	ochlori	ide	3-Ar	ninopyri	d ine hy	drochlo	ride	4-Am	inopyr	idine hyd	lrochlor	ide
$\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{NH}}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ sc	1664	1.3				NH_2 so	c } ¹⁶³⁷	e 0.65	$1642 \\ 1625$	$\frac{18}{42}$	$\stackrel{\tau}{\mathrm{NH}}_{2}$ sc	$1650 \\ 1636$	} 1∙5	1644 ¢	22
C=N st ^d	$1621 \\ 1550$	1.2 0.3		$1627 \\ 1550$	4	01	1604	0.05	1608	17	d	1592	0.65	1	7 0
	1476	0.35		1481	14	8b 19a	1482 L550	1.0	1565	11	a	1927	1.30 C	1537 1482	12
	$1408 \\ 1380$	0·1 0·45	C۹	$1415 \\ 1383$	18 60	19b	1390	0.35	1394	24		1402	0.1	1422	5
	1325	0.3	U	1328	72	3	1337	0.55	1344	29		$1364 \\ 1262$	0.15	1266	12
	$1244 \\ 1165$	0·2 0·3		$1250 \\ 1169$	$\frac{22}{10}$		1273	0.3	1285	11		1189	0.2	1201	7
	1113	0.1		1132	16	9a	1253	0.5	1244	4 21 18	d	1050	0·05 0·35	1046	62 52
	1091	0.1		1066		1	1044	0.25	1048	100				942	5
	1035	0.1		1035	4	12 5	1009 835	0.2	$1013 \\ 840$	36 89	5"	846	0.02	847 823	100
	996 867	0·4		1001	12		792	0.3	809	7	11	801	0.3	790	7
5	845	0.12		851	100	11	782 668	0.8	784	7				744 647	5 15
11	$754 \\ 712$	0.45				4?			625	10				526	22
	112	0 10		$628 \\ 555$	$\frac{12}{14}$	16			544 397	$\frac{12}{12}$				414	1
			2	Amino	pyrim	idine			2-Amir	opyri	imidine h	ydroch	loride		
	A	ssign- ment	-	Inf	rared	Ra	ıman		Assign- ment		Infrared	I	Raman		
	NF	I ₂ sc		1642	1.5	162	9 12		⁺ _{NH₂ sc}	16	365 1·7				
	8a 8b			$\begin{array}{c} 1576 \\ 1559 \end{array}$	$1 \cdot 4$ $1 \cdot 3$	A 158	8 33		C=N st	10	$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	} 16	328 * 8		
	19a	1 (+ t	o?)	1479	1.7	A 148	87 37			18	536 0.65	C 15	644 19		
	101			1445	0.15	145	2 2			18	508 0·1	14	<i>er</i> 0		
	191):		$1358 \\ 1224$	0.75	130	0 20			14	149 0.35 107 0.35	14	14 4		
	15			1179	0.4	110	a 1 4			13		13	59 8		
	9a: 1			1075	0.25	113	2 17 0 52			12	287 0.4 211 0.3	12	23 19		
	10			1038	0.2	103	4 5			10		10	78 19		
	12 "5	" f		997 866	0.15	87	3 100		" 5 "	8	72 0·35	8	375 100		
	" 1	1"1		803	0.85	818	3 10		" 11 "	5					
				720	$0.25 \\ 0.20$. 11	-	76 0·15	7	78 2		
	6b)	•				59	4 15				_	5	80 15		-

^a For units, and significance of figures for intensities, see footnote *a* to Table on p. 3116. However, for weak Raman spectra, instrumentally recorded intensities are given directly (*i.e.*, that of the strongest band has not been put equal to 100). ^b For diagrams, and the numbering, of aromatic vibrations, in benzene, see ref. 9; however, the precise form of some of these vibrations is not always the same in benzene and in a substituted pyridine. sc = scissoring. ^c Amine bands that seem to have no counterpart in the corresponding cation spectrum are marked A, and cation bands without a counterpart in the amine spectrum C. ^d See text for assignment. ^e Two bands merged into one. ^f Only three CH hydrogen atoms partake in this (out-of-plane bending) motion; in v_{11} all three move in phase, in v_6 adjacent H atoms move out of phase.

TABLE 2.

Vibration spectra ^a of methyl-substituted 2-aminopyridines in the range 1800-650 cm.⁻¹ (Raman spectra to 200 cm.⁻¹).

Assign-					Assign-					Assign-				
ment 🌶	Inf	rared	Rar	nan	ment	Inf	rared	Ran	nan	ment	Inf	rared	Ran	nan
	3-Methyl			3-Methyl 4-Methyl				5-Methyl						
NH ₂ sc	ך 1627 1614	1.2	1633	11	NH ₂ sc 8a	1645 1615	0.85 0.7 A	1625	4	NH ₂ sc 8a	$\begin{array}{c} 1628 \\ 1608 \end{array}$	0·45 0·1 A	1618	28
8a	1595	0.4 A	1599	57			••••	1601	ī	8b	1563	0.25	1571	20
8b	1579	0.2	1580	16	8b	1556	0·7 A	1564	3	19a	1503	0.62		
CH3	1473	0.7			19a	1492	0.4	1497	2	19b	1392	0.32	1385	30
19a	1451	1.7	1458	30	CH3	1469	0.35			CH3	1376	0.1		~ ~
19b	1435	0.6	1005	• •	19b	1450	0.6	1431	2		1316	0.2	1319	20
CH ₃ ?	1383	0.3	1385	14	CH ₃	1372	0.2	1381	3		1265	0.25	1269	45
	1320	0.12	1325	97		1336	0.1	1342	0		1221	0.05	1223	17
	1291	0.30	1999	79		1310	0.4	1312	3		1142	0.2	1088	2
	1197	0.30	1199	10		1180	0.3	1207	4	1	1007	0.1	1000	4
9a?	1135	0.15	1142	24	1	985	0.2	999	4	" 5 "	855	0.03	861	100
·u.	1035	0.2	1037	38	-	861	0.35	000	-	" ĭ1 "	825	0.3	830	5
	992	0·3	997	15	"11"	792	0.8]	748	0.1	755	7
" 11 " f	772	0.7	779	6	" 5 "	769	0.02	769	5				487	17
" 5 " f	743	0.1	749	100				570	2				324	15
			528	19				525	2					
	6	Methyl			1 9.	Methy	l hydroch	loride		. 4.	Methy	l hydroc	hloride	
	6	-Methyl			3. +	Methy	l hydroch	loride		4.	Methy	l hydroc	hloride	
NH2 sc 8b	6 1634 1598	-Methyl 0·45 0·45			3. NH₂ sc	Methy 1662	l hydroch 1·15	loride		4- + NH ₂ sc	Methy 1662	l hydrod 1·3	hloride 1669	5
NH2 sc 8b 8a	6 1634 1598 1574	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A	1585	1	3- + NH ₂ sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1633	l hydroch 1·15 0·45	loride 1627	13	4. + NH ₂ sc - C=N st	Methy 1662 1627	l hydroc 1·3 1·25	hloride 1669 1634	5 17
NH ₂ sc 8b 8a 19a + b	6 1634 1598 1574 1465	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A 0·7	1585	1	3 NH2 sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1633 1580	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·9	loride 1627 1574	13 14	$ \begin{array}{c} $	Methy 1662 1627 1541	l hydrod 1·3 1·25 0·02	hloride 1669 1634 1540	5 17 15
$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{NH}_2 \ \mathrm{sc} \\ 8\mathrm{b} \\ 8\mathrm{a} \\ 19\mathrm{a} + \mathrm{b} \\ \mathrm{CH}_3 \end{array}$	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A 0·7 0·05	1585	1	3 ⁺ NH ₂ sc C=N st CH ₃	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·9 0·35	loride 1627 1574 1467	13 14 11	4 NH2 sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486	l hydrod 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490	5 17 15 40
$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{NH_2 \ sc} \\ 8\mathrm{b} \\ 8\mathrm{a} \\ 19\mathrm{a} + \mathrm{b} \\ \mathrm{CH_3} \end{array}$	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1345	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A 0·7 0·05 0·15	1585	1	3 $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{NH}_2}$ sc $C=\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{N}}$ st CH_3	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·9 0·35 0·5	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415	13 14 11 16	4 NH2 sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486 1435	l hydrod 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45 0·1	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1429	5 17 15 40 42
NH ₂ sc 8b 8a 19a + b CH ₃	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1345 1279	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A 0·7 0·05 0·15 0·1	1585	1	3 $NH_2 sc$ $C=N st$ CH_3	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·9 0·35 0·5 0·35	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415	13 14 11 16	4 NH ₂ sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486 1435 1373	l hydrod 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45 0·1 0·4	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1429 1378	5 17 15 40 42 100
$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{NH}_2 \ \mathrm{sc} \\ 8\mathrm{b} \\ 8\mathrm{a} \\ 19\mathrm{a} + \mathrm{b} \\ \mathrm{CH}_3 \end{array}$	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1345 1279 1161	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A 0·7 0·05 0·15 0·1 0·1	1585	1	3. NH ₂ sc C=N st CH ₃ CH ₃	-Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·9 0·35 0·5 0·35 0·4	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384	13 14 11 16 18	4. NH ₂ sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486 1435 1373 1298	l hydrod 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45 0·1 0·4 0·15	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1429 1378 1306	5 17 15 40 42 100 42
$ NH_2 sc 8b 8a 19a + b CH_3 $ $ 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 $	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1345 1279 1161 986	-Methyl 0·45 0·35 A 0·7 0·05 0·15 0·1 0·1 0·05 A	1585 1151 99 6	1 1 3	3 $^{+}_{NH_{2} sc}$ $C=N st$ CH_{3} CH_{3}	-Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374 1355	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·9 0·35 0·5 0·35 0·35 0·2 C ¢	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1361	13 14 11 16 18 100	4 NH ₂ sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486 1435 1373 1298 1226 1120	l hydroc 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45 0·1 0·4 0·15 0·5	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1429 1378 1306 1245	5 17 15 40 42 100 42 40
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{sc} \\ \mathrm{8b} \\ \mathrm{8a} \\ \mathrm{19a} + \mathrm{b} \\ \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ \end{array} $	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1345 1279 1161 986 783 794	-Methyl 0.45 0.45 0.35 A 0.7 0.05 0.15 0.1 0.1 0.05 A 0.3 0.25	1585 1151 99 6	1 1 3 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\ \text{NH}_{2} \text{ sc}\\ \text{C=N st}\\ \text{CH}_{3}\\ \text{CH}_{3} \end{array} $	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374 1355 1325	l hydroch 1-15 0-45 0-9 0-35 0-5 0-35 0-4 0-02 C ¢ 0-25 0-25	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1361 1311	13 14 11 16 18 100 30	4 NH ₂ sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1541 1486 1435 1373 1298 1226 1182	l hydrod 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45 0·1 0·4 0·15 0·5 0·2 0·2	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1429 1378 1306 1245 1188	5 17 15 40 42 100 42 40 222
$ NH_{2} sc 8b 8a 19a + b CH_{3} 1 " 11 " " 5 "$	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1345 1279 1161 986 783 726	-Methyl 0.45 0.45 0.35 A 0.7 0.05 0.15 0.1 0.1 0.05 A 0.3 0.05	1585 1151 99 6 736	1 1 3 3 1	3 NH ₂ sc C=N st CH ₃ CH ₃	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374 1355 1327 1255 1327	l hydroch 1.15 0.45 0.9 0.35 0.5 0.4 0.02 C ¢ 0.25 0.35 0.25 0.25	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1361 1311 1255	13 14 11 16 18 100 30 27	4 + NH ₂ sc + C=N st	Methy 1662 1541 1486 1435 1373 1298 1226 1182 1125 080	l hydrod 1.3 1.25 0.02 0.45 0.1 0.4 0.15 0.5 0.2 0.05 0.15	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1429 1378 1306 1245 1188 1136	5 17 15 40 42 100 42 40 22 17 37
NH ₂ sc 8b 8a 19a + b CH ₃ 1 "11" "5"	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1345 1279 1161 986 783 726	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A 0·7 0·05 0·15 0·1 0·1 0·05 A 0·3 0·05	1585 1151 99 6 736 544	1 1 3 1	$3:$ $NH_{2} sc$ $C=N st$ CH_{3} CH_{3}	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374 1355 1327 1255 1210 1145	l hydroch 1.15 0.45 0.9 0.35 0.5 0.35 0.45 0.02 C ¢ 0.25 0.35 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1301 1253 1205	13 14 11 16 18 100 30 27 6 8	4 NH2 sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1485 1435 1373 1298 1226 1182 1125 989 950	l hydrod 1.3 1.25 0.02 0.45 0.1 0.4 0.15 0.5 0.2 0.05 0.15 0.15	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1490 1378 1306 1245 1188 1136 994 944	5 17 15 40 42 100 42 40 22 17 37
$ NH_{2} sc 8b 8a 19a + b CH_{3} 1 " 11 " " 5 "$	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1375 1279 1161 986 783 726	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A 0·7 0·15 0·15 0·1 0·15 0·3 0·05 A 0·3	1585 1151 99 6 736 544	1 1 3 1	$3:$ $NH_{2} sc$ $C=N st$ CH_{3} CH_{3}	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374 1355 1327 1255 1210 1145 1083	l hydroch 1-15 0-45 0-9 0-35 0-35 0-35 0-35 0-25 0-25 0-25 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1361 1311 1253 1205 1147 1074	13 14 11 16 18 100 30 27 6 8 8	4 NH2 sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486 1435 1373 1298 1226 1182 1125 989 950 873	l hydroo 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45 0·1 0·4 0·15 0·2 0·05 0·15 0·45	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1429 1378 1306 1245 1188 1136 994 944	5 17 15 40 42 100 42 40 22 17 37 37
NH ₂ sc 8b 19a + b CH ₃ 1 "11" "5"	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1375 1279 1161 986 783 726	-Methyl 0·45 0·35 A 0·35 A 0·7 0·05 0·15 0·1 0·1 0·05 A 0·3 0·05	1585 1151 99 6 736 544	1 1 3 1	3 $NH_{2} sc$ $C=N st$ CH_{3} CH_{3}	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374 1355 1327 1255 1210 1145 1083 1059	l hydroch 1-15 0-45 0-9 0-35 0-35 0-02 C ¢ 0-25 0-25 0-25 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-3 0-3 0-3 0-2 0-3 0-2 0-3 0-2 0-3 0-2 0-3 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2 0-2	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1361 1311 1253 1205 1147 1074	13 14 11 16 18 100 30 27 6 8 8 8 13	4: NH ₂ sc C=N st	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486 1435 1373 1298 1298 1125 989 950 873 865	l hydroo 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45 0·4 0·4 0·15 0·5 0·05 0·15 0·15 0·15 0·45 0·45 0·45	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1429 1378 1306 1245 1188 1136 994 944	5 17 15 40 42 100 42 22 17 37 37
NH ₂ sc 8b 8a 19a + b CH ₃ 1 "11" "5"	6 1634 1598 1574 1465 1374 1345 1279 1161 986 783 726	-Methyl 0.45 0.35 A 0.35 A 0.7 0.05 0.15 0.1 0.1 0.05 A 0.3 0.05	1585 1151 99 6 736 544	1 3 3 1	$3:$ $NH_{2} sc$ $C=^{+}N st$ CH_{3} CH_{3}	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374 1355 1327 1255 1210 1145 1083 1059 1018	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·35 0·35 0·35 0·35 0·36 0·20 0·25 0·25 0·25 0·25 0·25 0·25 0·20 0·2 0·25 0·20 0	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1361 1311 1253 1205 1147 1074 1004	13 14 11 16 18 100 30 27 6 8 8 13 9	4. ⁺ ⁺ C=N st " 11 "	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486 1435 1373 1298 1228 1125 989 950 873 865 783	l hydroo 1.3 1.25 0.02 0.45 0.1 0.45 0.15 0.5 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.45 0.15 0.45 0.15 0.45 0.15 0.45 0.15 0.45 0.15 0.45 0.15 0.15 0.45 0.15	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1429 1306 1245 1188 1136 994 944	5 17 15 40 42 100 42 40 22 17 37 37
NH ₂ sc 8b 8a 19a + b CH ₃ 1 "11" "5"	6 1634 1598 1574 1374 1345 1279 1161 986 783 726	-Methyl 0.45 0.45 0.35 A 0.7 0.05 0.15 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.3 0.05	1585 1151 99 6 736 544	1 1 3 1	$3:$ $NH_{2} sc$ $C=N st$ CH_{3} CH_{3}	Methy 1662 1633 1580 1475 1423 1399 1374 1355 1327 1255 1210 1145 1083 1058 837	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·35 0·35 0·35 0·35 0·42 0·25 0·25 0·25 0·2 0·2 0·2 0·2 0·2 0·2 0·2 0·2	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1361 1311 1253 1205 1147 1074 1042 1004	13 14 11 16 18 100 30 27 6 8 8 13 9	4: ⁺ ⁺ C=N st "11" "5"	Methy 1662 1627 1541 1486 1435 1298 1226 1182 1226 1182 989 950 873 865 783 759	1 hydrood 1.3 1.25 0.02 0.42 0.1 0.4 0.15 0.2 0.05 0.15 0.45	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1378 1306 1245 1188 1136 994 944 765	5 17 15 40 42 100 42 40 22 17 37 37 37
NH ₂ sc 8b 8a 19a + b CH ₃ 1 "11" "5"	6 1634 1598 1574 1345 1374 1345 1279 1161 986 783 726	-Methyl 0·45 0·45 0·35 A 0·7 0·05 0·15 0·1 0·1 0·05 A 0·3 0·05	1585 1151 996 736 544	1 3 3 1	3. ⁺ ⁺ ⁺ ⁺ ² ⁺ ² ³ ⁵ ⁴ ⁴ ⁵ ⁵ ⁵ ⁶ ⁷ ⁸ ⁸ ⁸ ¹ ¹ ⁹ ⁸ ⁸ ¹ ⁸ ⁸ ¹ ⁸ ¹ ⁸ ¹ ⁸ ¹ ¹ ⁸ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	Methy 1662 1580 1475 1423 1394 1355 1327 1255 1210 1145 1083 1059 1018 837 772	l hydroch 1·15 0·45 0·35 0·35 0·35 0·4 0·02 C ¢ 0·25 0·25 0·25 0·35 0·25 0·25 0·25 0·35 0·25 0·35 0·20 0·25 0·35 0·20 0·25 0·35 0·35 0·35 0·35 0·4 0·4 0·4 0·4 0·4 0·4 0·4 0·4	loride 1627 1574 1467 1415 1384 1361 1311 1253 1205 1147 1074 1042 1004 784	13 14 11 16 18 100 30 27 6 8 8 13 9 4	4. + NH ₂ sc C=N st " 11" " 5"	Methy 1662 1541 1486 1435 1298 1226 1182 1125 9850 873 865 783 759	1 hydrood 1·3 1·25 0·02 0·45 0·1 0·4 0·15 0·15 0·15 0·15 0·15 0·15 0·15 0·15 0·15 1·25 0·45 1·22 0·45 0·22 0·45 0·22 0·45 0·25 0·45	hloride 1669 1634 1540 1490 1378 1306 1245 1188 1136 994 944 765 568	$5 \\ 17 \\ 15 \\ 40 \\ 42 \\ 100 \\ 42 \\ 17 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 75 \\ 50 \\ 100 \\$

5-Methyl hydrochloride

6-Methyl hydrochloride

Raman 9	
490	2
400	4
	-
315	5
	Ŭ
740	5
790	- 9
•	.315 740

a-f See Table 1. Weak bands have been omitted. of fluorescence.

Raman spectrum obtained was very weak because

Assignment ⁸	2-Aminop	oyridine	3-Aminop	yridine	4-Aminop	yridine	2-Aminopy	rimidine
			Æ	l mines				
HNH as st HNH s at	3445 3302 3164	0·25 0·15 0·2	3376 3308 3159 2045	0.6 0.5 0.55	3433 3302 3087	0·5 0·3 0·85	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 3350 \\ 3335 \\ 3247 \\ 3169 \end{array} \right\}$	1·0 0·1 1·2
Ar(CH) st	3026 2950	0.03 0.02 0.02	3038	0.02	3038 2995	0·05 0·1		
			Hvd	rochlorides				
HNH as st	${}^{3308}_{3238}$ }	1.2	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 3332 \\ 3295 \end{array} \right\}$	0-9	3313 3195 3143	0·8 0·9	$3339 \\ 3195$	0·8 0·15
HNH s st Ar(CH) st	$3143 \\ 2965 \\ 2892$	1·2 0·15 0·15	$3183 \\ 3114 \\ 3094 $	0·9 0·25	3091 ∫ 3042	0.4	3143 3087	0-85 0-05
+			2956	0-2				
NH st			3059 3012 2898 2884	0·65 0·15	$2968 \\ 2936$ }	0.7	$\left\{ egin{smallmatrix} 2722 \\ 2665 \end{smallmatrix} ight\}$	1-2
			$\frac{2840}{2806}$	0-2 0-7				
Assignment	3-Me	thyl	4-Me	thyl	5-Me	thyl	6-Me	thyl
		•	2-Am	inopyridines	3			
HNH as st	3468 3317	0·35 0·6	3428 3295	0.6	3452 3308	0·2 0·15	$3458 \\ 3312 \\ 2152$	0·2 0·2
Ar(CH) st	3180 3020 2968	0.65 0.05 0.01	3132 3054	0.35 0.1	3164 3028 3010	0·25 0·1	3172 3070	0.2
Me(CH) st	${2931 \atop 2913}$ }	0.1	2914	0.15	2983 J 2918	0.03	2981 2918	0·02
	2850	0.1	2855	0.02	2864	0.03		
			2-Aminopyri	idine hydroc	hlorides			
HNH as st	3293	1.1	$3338 \\ 3290 $	0.6	$\left. \begin{smallmatrix} 3335\\ 3290 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$	0.7	3395	0.32
HNH s st Ar(CH) st	3247 3135	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 15 \\ 1\cdot 3 \end{array}$	3244 J 3158 3054	$1 \cdot 2 \\ 0 \cdot 1$	3250 3155	0.9	3283 3178 3068	0·7 0·15 0·2
Me(CH) st	2960 2939 2850	0·15 0·15 0·05	2995 2920 2868	0·15 0·04 0·03	2976 2939 2831	$0.05 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1$	2954 2870	0·15 0·1
ŇH st	2000	0.00	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 2824\\ 2781\\ 2730 \end{array} \right\}$	1.3	2758 2695	1.5 0.1	2816 2756	1·2 0·25

TABLE 3.

Infrared spectra ^a in the range 3800-1800 cm.⁻¹.

^a See Table 1. The wavenumbers in this Table were obtained with single-beam instrument with a lithium fluoride prism, but the intensities with a double-beam instrument with a sodium chloride prism. ^b as = anti-symmetric, s = symmetric, s = stretching.

between C-N stretching and either NH_2 bending or an aromatic motion. The prominent Raman band(s) observed for 2-aminopyridine derivatives in the range 1270—1340 cm.⁻¹ may be due to the NH_2 group or to in-plane CH bending.

Cation Spectra.—3-Aminopyridine hydrochloride. The vibration spectrum of this substance (Table 1) strongly resembles those of 3-hydroxy-¹⁵ and 3-methoxy-pyridine.⁸ Like proton addition to pyridine,¹⁵ pyrimidine,¹⁵ and 3-hydroxy- and 3-methoxy-pyridine, proton addition to 3-aminopyridine produces only minor changes in the spectrum (and no unexpected frequency changes).

2-Aminopyridine hydrochloride. Cation formation by 2-aminopyridine, by contrast, results in a profound change in the vibration spectrum, especially the Raman spectrum (see Table 1). The difference between the spectra of the cations of 2-methoxy-⁸ and 2-amino-pyridine is equally striking. The latter shows no intense Raman bands near 1000 cm.⁻¹ attributable to ring-breathing v_1 or ring deformation v_{12} , and none near 1630 cm.⁻¹ attributable to skeletal stretching v_{ga} ; instead, it shows an intense Raman band at

1380 cm.⁻¹, for which there is no counterpart in either the 2-aminopyridine or the 2-methoxypyridinium ion spectrum.

In the infrared spectrum, the lowering of the mean H–N–H stretching frequency due to cation formation is about 70 cm.⁻¹ and the raising of the H–N–H scissoring frequency 40 cm.⁻¹, as compared with 10 cm.⁻¹ and 20 cm.⁻¹ in the case of 3-aminopyridine. There are no really intense bands below 1600 cm.⁻¹, which is surprising for an ion (IV), and the moderately intense band at 1380 cm.⁻¹ is not readily assigned.

These spectral changes point to a structural change on cation formation that is far more drastic than the mere addition of a proton to give an ion represented mainly by form (IV). The observed spectrum does not even agree with a "modified aromatic" structure, in which forms (IV) and (V) contribute about equally. In such an ion the exocyclic C-N bond (bond order ~1.42 on the Pauling scale) would vibrate in unison with the ring bonds, in motions bearing a simple relation ¹⁵ to ordinary aromatic modes, and bands due to "modified" ring-breathing v₁ and "modified" skeletal stretching v_{8a} should still be strong in the Raman spectrum; the modified frequencies v₁ and v_{8a}, and to a lesser extent v_{8a} and v_{19b}, would be lower * than for the pyridinium ion.

The observed spectrum is, however, readily interpreted on the basis of a cation structure represented essentially (or largely) by the amidinium form (V) [contribution from form (IV), <25%]. The bands at 1625 and 1665 cm.⁻¹ are assigned to exocyclic C=N⁺ stretching

and H–N–H scissoring (with some mixing between the two motions,¹⁶ and perhaps also with C=C stretching), which, for an amidinium ion, should give the most intense infrared bands below 2000 cm.⁻¹. It is also noteworthy (though perhaps coincidental) that the bands in the N–H stretching region are in almost the same position for the cations of 2-aminopyridine (see Table 3) and formamidine ¹⁷ (3338, 3220, and 3138 cm.⁻¹, for the solid in potassium bromide). The location of the strongest Raman band of the 2-aminopyridine cation at 851 cm.⁻¹ (out-of-plane CH bending, like v_5) is readily reconciled with structure (V); 2-pyridone [*i.e.*, (V); =O in place of =NH₂⁺] also shows a strong Raman band there. (However, there is no very marked resemblance between these two spectra in general.)

Hydrochlorides of methyl derivatives of 2-aminopyridine. Like the parent compound, these (see Tables 2 and 3) show hardly any very intense bands except near 1630 and 1662 cm.⁻¹, and in the N-H stretching region. The cations of the 4-, 5-, and 6-methyl derivative (but not those of the 3-methyl derivative or of the parent compound) show a strong band near 2790 cm.⁻¹ which is undoubtedly associated with strongly hydrogen-bonding 'NH protons, but which one cannot rationalize further. The lowering of the H-N-H stretching frequencies in aminopyridines on cation formation is also due mostly to (+N-H ···N) hydrogen bonding. The (for a cation) unusually high values of these frequencies in the 2-amino-6-methylpyridine ion probably reflect steric hindrance by the methyl group to the approach of an +NH₂ group towards the ring-nitrogen atom that, on the basis of ion structure (V), would have to be the hydrogen-bond acceptor.

The Raman spectra of these substances change strikingly on cation formation, particularly for the 6-methyl derivative. In the region 1250-1420 cm.⁻¹ cation bands do not at all match the amine bands; the strongest Raman band for the cations of the 3and the 4-methyl derivative near 1370 cm.⁻¹ seem to have no counterpart in the amine spectra. The band near 1630 cm.⁻¹ in the cation spectra is far less intense than that near 1610 cm.⁻¹ in the amine spectra, and the two are presumably not counterparts of each other.

^{*} Contrary to a previous statement,¹⁵ the frequency ν_{19a} may not be significantly lowered (the direction of movement of the exocyclic atom in modes 19a and 19b [there ¹⁵ (XIIIA) and (XIIIB)] is the opposite from that shown).

¹⁶ Davies and Parsons, Z. phys. Chem. (Frankfurt), 1959, 20, 34.

¹⁷ Spinner, unpublished work.

Though these spectra are somewhat complex, everything indicates a profound structural change on cation formation, all the ions being essentially of structure (V), including the 2-amino-3-methylpyridine ion, even though there might have been steric opposition here to the planar structure required for an ion (V).

2-Aminopyrimidine hydrochloride. The vibration-spectral changes resulting from cation formation by 2-aminopyrimidine (see Tables 1 and 3), though less drastic, resemble those observed for 2-aminopyrimidine. At least one, and probably both, of the prominent Raman bands for 2-aminopyrimidine in the range 1000—1100 cm.⁻¹, and the Raman band at 1588 cm.⁻¹ disappear; cation Raman bands, not very intense, but without counterparts in the amine spectrum, appear at 1544, 1298, and 1223 cm.⁻¹. The infrared spectrum of the 2-aminopyrimidine cation above 1600 cm.⁻¹ resembles that of the 2-aminopyridine cation (except for an intense doublet near 2700 cm.⁻¹ due to strongly hydrogen-bonding ⁺NH).



The spectrum of the 2-aminopyrimidine ion is consistent with structure (VI). The potentially mesomeric tautomeride of this ion (VII) is not considered to predominate, for two reasons: (a) the very strong infrared band at 1665 cm.⁻¹, which lacks a counterpart

in the Raman spectrum, is almost certainly due to H-N-H scissoring (rather than to C=N or skeletal stretching, which should be Raman-active), and the ion (VII) contains no NH_2 group; (b) the Raman spectrum of the 2-aminopyrimidine cation is quite different from that of the 2-hydroxypyrimidine cation, which is ion (VII; =O in place of =NH).

4-Aminopyridine hydrochloride. Cation formation by 4-aminopyridine results in a very striking simplification of the infrared spectrum: below 1500 cm.⁻¹ the ion shows no intense bands, and, indeed, very few bands of any measurable intensity. This points to a very simple skeletal structure for the ion, or to a high degree of symmetry. The Raman spectrum, too, is simplified, though not to the same extent; the cation shows 8 bands of an intensity in excess of 10% of that of the strongest Raman band, the amine (at least) * 13.

In these respects the vibration spectrum of the 4-aminopyridine cation is quite different from that of the 4-methoxypyridine cation, and there are formidable obstacles to a structure represented mainly by form (VIII) for the former ion. Though the Raman bands at 1046 and 991 cm.⁻¹ could (a little implausibly) be assigned to aromatic ringbreathing v_1 and ring-deformation v_{12} , it would be difficult to assign the four skeletal stretching frequencies v_{8a} , v_{8b} , v_{19a} , and v_{19b} . The band at 1530 cm.⁻¹, strong in both the infrared and the Raman spectrum, has to be assigned to a vibration for which there is no parallel in either 4-aminopyridine or the 4-methoxypyridinium ion.



One could assign this band to the "modified" vibration ¹⁵ v_{8a} in a mesomeric cation in which the canonical forms (VIII) and (IX) make roughly equal contributions, but it would now be impossible to assign the modified vibrations v_{19a} and v_{19a} to any observed band (also, 1046 cm.⁻¹, or even 991 cm.⁻¹, would be too high a frequency for v_1 in such

* Mainly owing to the low solubility of 4-aminopyridine in water, very weak bands could not be detected (see Table 1).

an ion). In any case, such a structure would be no simpler or more symmetrical than that of 4-aminopyridine itself.

On the other hand, the vibration spectrum is very readily interpreted on the basis of a cation structure represented essentially or largely by the form (IX), by comparison with the vibration spectrum ⁷ of 4-pyridone (X), which it strongly resembles. This leads to the following assignments: * 1636 cm.⁻¹ to vibration (IXa) (all three double bonds stretch

in unison), possibly mixed with HNH scissoring; 1530 cm.⁻¹ to another composite vibration in which the C=C bonds stretch while the C=N⁺ bond contracts (and *vice versa*); 1592 cm.⁻¹

to N_{ring} -H in-plane bending, 1650 cm.⁻¹ to H-N-H scissoring; 1046 cm.⁻¹ to "*pseudo*ring-breathing," in which the four single bonds in the ring stretch in unison; 847 cm.⁻¹ to the C-H out-of-plane bending vibration (IXb) (equivalent to v_5). The only prominent (Raman) band left unassigned is that at 991 cm.⁻¹, for which there is no counterpart in the 4-pyridone spectrum, and which may be associated with the NH₂⁺ group.

Amidinium structures for 2- and 4-aminopyridine cations. The result that these ions are essentially (or largely) of the amindinium type, rather than ordinary aromatic ions, is not altogether expected, although it does not contradict any of their known properties. Thus, the ultraviolet spectrum of the 2-aminopyridine cation 3b does not differ from that

of $N_{(1)}$ -methyl-2-pyridone imine ³⁰ (V; NMe in place of NH, and NH in place of $\tilde{N}H_2$) by more than the expected amount, while that of the 4-aminopyridine cation shows a (remarkably) close resemblance to that of $N_{(1)}$ -methyl-4-pyridone imine ³⁰ (IX; NMe in place of NH, and NH in place of NH₂⁺).

The reason for the amidinium character of these ions, presumably, is that, in a 2- or 4-aminopyridine ion protonated at the ring-nitrogen atom, the exocyclic nitrogen atom accepts the positive charge much more readly than does the the ring-nitrogen because no unsaturated grouping is attached to it. For comparison, the basic ionization constants, in pK_a units, are 12.5 for acetamidine,¹⁹ 11.6 for benzamidine,² and 11.5 ± 0.2 for formamidine (new determination). However, in *NN*-diphenylformamidine (unsaturated grouping attached to =N) it has dropped to ²⁰ 7.85, and in imidazole, in which the formamidine residue forms part of a cyclic unsaturated system, to ²¹ 7.2. This factor seems to outweigh the lack, in ions (V) and (IX), of the aromatic stabilization present in ions (IV) and (VIII). Of course, ions (V) and (IX), though not aromatic, are still extensively stabilized by conjugation (irrespective of whether this is attributed to π -electron delocalization or to other effects ²², ²³) and by the electron-donating effect of the NH grouping (attributable either to the mesomeric effect of NH or to an inductive polarization of the N-C bond(s) by the highly polar C=N⁺ bond).

The author thanks Professor Albert for helpful comments on the manuscript and Mr. D. T. Light for technical assistance.

DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL CHEMISTRY, INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED STUDIES, THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA. [Received, December 28th, 1961.]

* Coupling between the C=C and C=O stretching motions in 4-pyridone (tentatively proposed before 7) is considered to be extensive. Alternatively, the band near 1530 cm^{-1} in the spectrum of 4-pyridone and the 4-aminopyridine cation may be assigned to C=C stretching and that near 1640 cm^{-1} to C=O or C=N⁺ stretching, respectively; or, in accordance with Bellamy and Rogasch's interpretation of the 4-pyridone spectrum,¹⁸ one may reverse the latter assignments.

- ¹⁸ Bellamy and Rogasch, Spectrochim. Acta, 1960, 16, 30.
- ¹⁹ Schwarzenbach and Lutz, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1940, 23, 1162.
- ²⁰ De Wolfe, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 1585.
- ²¹ Dedichen, Ber., 1906, **39**, 1831.
- ²² Spinner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 504; Spectrochim. Acta, 1961, 17, 545.
- ²³ Dewar and Schmeising, Tetrahedron, 1959, 5, 166.